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A B S T R A C T

Various human skull models feature a layered cranial structure composed of homogeneous cortical tables and the inner diploë. However, there is a lack of fundamental validation work of such three-layer cranial bone models by combining high-fidelity computational modeling and rigorous experiments. Here, non-contact vibration experiments are conducted on an assortment of dry bone segments from the largest cranial bone regions (parietal, frontal, occipital, and temporal) to estimate the first handful of modal frequencies and damping ratios, as well as mode shapes, in the audio frequency regime. Numerical models that consider the cortical tables and the diploë as domains with separate isotropic material properties are constructed for each bone segment using a routine that identifies the cortical table–diploë boundaries from micro-computed tomography scan images, and reconstructs a three-dimensional geometry layer by layer. The material properties for cortical tables and diploë are obtained using a Hounsfield Unit-based mass density calculation combined with a parameter identification scheme for Young's modulus estimation. With the identified parameters, the average error between experimental and numerical modal frequencies is 1.3% and the modal assurance criterion values for most modes are above 0.90, indicating that the layered model is suitable for predicting the vibrational behavior of cranial bone. The proposed layered modeling and identified elastic parameters are also useful to support computational modeling of cranial guided waves and mode conversion in medical ultrasound. Additionally, the diploë elastic properties are rarely reported in the literature, making this work a fundamental characterization effort that can guide in the selection of material properties for human head models that consider layered cranial bone.

1. Introduction

The existing literature on the human skull and head vibration began forming in the 1950s–70s. Most investigations to date are framed in the broad context of better understanding human head injuries or bone-conducted sound. The first experimental studies focused on mechanical impedance (Franke, 1956; Hodgson et al., 1967; Stalnaker et al., 1971), some of which included limited vibration modeling. Khalil and Viano (Khalil et al., 1979) were the first to identify the dry skull mode shapes, finding significant differences from one skull to another. These differences were attributed to high variance in relative size between skull bones, bone thickness, material properties, suture characteristics, among other factors that are unique to individual skulls. Since then, there have been a handful of experimental works reporting mode shapes of skull bone (McKnight et al., 2013; Horácek et al., 2003; Eslaminejad et al., 2020). Other remarkable experimental research such as that conducted by Håkansson et al. (1994) have identified in vivo resonance frequencies and damping coefficients in human heads with pre-existing titanium implants. Experimental vibration studies on in vivo human heads are relatively rare, likely due to the difficulty and cost of performing such experiments, while the scarcity for dry cranial bone studies can be explained in part by lack of direct applicability of such results to topics like hearing by bone conduction.

On the modeling side, many early papers employed elastic fluid-coupled spherical shell models (Engin, 1969; Hickling and Wenner, 1973), and similar idealized models have also been used more recently (El Baroudi et al., 2012; Charalambopoulos et al., 1997). However, due to the geometric and mechanical complexities involved, numerical models have mainly been developed using the finite element method (FEM), with the paper by Nickell and Marcal (1974) being one of the pioneering studies specifically devoted to the study of skull vibrations. The gradual development in FEM head models used in injury investigations has been described in Voo et al. (1996) and more...
recently in Tse et al. (2017). High-fidelity numerical models of the human skull and brain can be obtained from computed tomography (CT) scans. This approach is used, for example, to compute natural frequencies and mode shapes in Tse et al. (2015) through a model that also features cerebrospinal fluid, nasal cartilage, and vertebrae.

Studies on traumatic brain injury due to blunt impacts have demonstrated that fundamental brain damage is associated with low frequency dynamics near 30 Hz (Laksari et al., 2018). For the purpose of these investigations, the distinction between single- or multi-layer descriptions of the skull bone, and even the assumption of rigid versus elastic bone are likely inconsequential. In contrast, for investigations of phenomena that involve higher frequencies such as blast-induced traumatic brain injury (Cotton et al., 2016) and bone conduction of sound, the detailed modeling of the layered bone structure is highly relevant. There are a number of works (Kleiven and von Holst, 2002; Kang et al., 1997; Sahoo et al., 2013) that consider a skull model with separate cortical table and diploë properties. The model in Chang et al. (2016) is particularly precise in its diploë geometry that is extracted from cryogenic images. However, the diploë properties have not been rigorously analyzed until recently, with significant efforts made into characterizing the layered cranial bone geometry (Alexander et al., 2019) and the layer material properties (Alexander et al., 2020) of fresh parietal and frontal bone. The latter paper, to our best knowledge, is the first to report distinct material properties for the cortical tables and the diploë in fresh cranial bone, although the results are limited to parietal and frontal bone.

This paper serves as a fundamental high-fidelity modeling and validation work for cranial bone vibration with a layered model. In addition, the vibration properties, quantified by modal parameters, are employed to extract material properties for the cortical tables and the diploë. To this end, a novel model construction process is employed to formulate FEM models where cranial bone segments are divided into their constituent layers, i.e. the outer cortical table, the diploë, and the inner cortical table. Five such models are generated for bone segments extracted from the parietal, frontal, occipital, and temporal bones of an 87-year-old dry male skull as seen in Fig. 1. It should be noted that while a statistical treatment is beyond the scope of this paper, the extracted diploë elastic moduli serve as some of the only available points of reference in the literature. The numerical models employ elastic properties obtained using a parameter identification scheme that seeks to minimize the difference between the numerical modal frequencies and those obtained from non-contact experimental vibration studies. The main contributions offered by this paper are two-fold: (1) development of a procedure that allows for the formulation of a 3-layered cranial bone model from CT scan data, and (2) evaluation of equivalent elastic properties of the skull through vibration experiments, which also serve as validation of the developed models. While there are a number of complex human head models that use three layer skull models, there is no fundamental experimental and numerical validation work for the use of such cranial bone. This paper seeks to fill this void in the literature. Additionally, such layered modeling and identified elastic parameters are required to support computational models of cranial guided waves and mode conversion for medical ultrasound (Mazzotti et al., 2021a; Kohtanen et al., 2021a,b) (given the bone porosity and layer thickness become more critical with shorter wavelengths at ultrasonic frequencies).

2. Layered geometry reconstruction

The cranial bone is in general separated into three layers: the inner and outer cortical tables, and the diploë, which has considerably different material properties than those of the cortical tables. With this natural distinction in mind, it is intuitive to consider a layered model in which the inner core and the adjacent regions employ different sets of material properties. To this end, a numerical model that faithfully represents the boundaries between the bone layers is constructed. First, micro-computed tomographic (μCT) scan images of a bone segment are obtained from an 87-year-old human skull specimen of unknown race and medical history using Scanco Medical μCT 50 scanner at 49.6 μm resolution. These images are then used in a model construction algorithm built using MATLAB’s image processing toolbox (Anon., 2020). The algorithm begins by identifying the outline of the skull segment, from which the inner and outer boundaries are isolated using corner coordinates. The boundaries are then divided into uniformly-spaced sets of points. From each point on a boundary, the algorithm marches towards the opposite side until a gray scale value below threshold is encountered, indicating that the coordinates correspond to a cortical table–diploë boundary. The algorithm proceeds until each point on the inner and outer boundaries is matched to a corresponding point on a cortical table–diploë boundary. The matched points are then filtered to attenuate local noise using a Savitzky–Golay filter (Orfanidis, 1995) that fits subsets of adjacent points to a second order polynomial, generating two smooth curves that separate the diploë and the cortical tables. Note that for even finer resolution (near 10 μm and finer) images, pores in the cortical tables are distinct as observed in Alexander et al. (2019) and would distort the diploë boundary identification. The resolution of such image sets can be reduced for use with this method. On the other hand, the algorithm does not detect small diploë pores that appear homogeneous in lower resolution images. The employed 49.6 μm resolution strikes a balance between these two considerations, yielding a visually reasonable separation between the bone regions as seen in Fig. 2. Alternatively, a porosity percentage-based diploë boundary identification described in Alexander et al. (2019) could be employed to avoid the aforementioned porosity considerations.

The described boundary identification procedure is repeated for each scan image. The identified overall outline of the bone segment, as well as the cortical table–diploë boundary coordinates are then imported into COMSOL Multiphysics 5.5 (Comsol Multiphysics 5.5, 2020). The overall closed outline of the bone segment is lofted using COMSOL design module to generate the solid geometry, whereas the open cortical table–diploë boundaries are lofted to create two surfaces that divide the solid into three distinct layers: the inner cortical table, the diploë, and the outer cortical table. A comparison between a bone sample and its finished numerical model is shown in Fig. 3. It should be noted that in reality the exact point at which the diploë begins and a cortical table ends is ill-defined. For this reason, the gray scale threshold and the number of points in each Savitzky–Golay filter subset (typically 15%–25% of boundary points) are adjusted until a visually reasonable layer separation is realized. The same options are then used for all CT-scan images for a given skull segment. Numerical models are constructed for each of the five skull segments in Fig. 1 using CT-scan images of bone segments extracted from the frontal, occipital, parietal and temporal bones.
The numerical model construction procedure captures the cortical table and diploë separation well in particular for regular bone geometries with well-defined diploë and cortical tables. The parietal segment geometries illustrate this point well; in Fig. 4, the outer surfaces of the P1 segment are smooth, which results in a finished numerical model in which the cortical tables and the diploë are faithfully captured. More complex surfaces such as that in the left F1 image are not captured as intricately because of local cortical bone growths separated from the main cortical layer, causing the local identified cortical table-diploë boundary to reside between the cortical bone growth and the outer cortical table. On the other hand, most of the F1 geometry is closer to the more regular right image, making the inconsistencies in the identified cortical table-diploë boundary for the left image local noise that is relatively inconsequential in the overall layer separation in the finished numerical model. It should be noted that in many areas of the diploë, the porosity is not uniform. For example, there is a large diploic channel in the middle of the right P1 image. The right F1 image, on the other hand, exhibits significant variation in local diploë mass density, with higher bone densities observed in the top-left area of the diploë. All such non-uniformities are homogenized into the middle layer of the numerical model.

3. Experimental procedure

The goal of the experiments is to identify modal frequencies, damping ratios, and mode shapes of the bone segments. Due to their irregular shape, bone segments are difficult to secure to a shaker for base excitation. Additionally, because the skull segments are light structures, mass loading from a stinger would impact the vibrational behavior. For the aforementioned reasons, a speaker was used to acoustically excite the structure placed on foam to emulate free boundary conditions, thus avoiding the problems of clamping and added mass. This approach comes with the compromise that the excitation pressure can vary locally on the bone surface because the segments are asymmetrically placed in the speaker’s near-field to improve excitation of asymmetric modes and to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio.

The schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 5. Using a signal generator with data acquisition system and software included in the scanning laser Doppler vibrometer (Polytec PSV-500) system, a noise burst with frequency content in the auditory range is sent to a speaker (Klipsch RP-400M) through a power amplifier (QSC PLX1602). The velocity measurement is triggered internally and is obtained at approximately 100 points with the scanning laser Doppler vibrometer using 10 averages. The laser software automatically converts the time-domain measurements into FRFs, which evaluate a transfer function between the surface velocity and the excitation voltage signal. The FRFs are then converted from velocity/voltage to velocity/pressure using the free field pressure measured with a microphone at the location of the bone segment. A sample FRF is reported in Fig. 6 where the mode shapes corresponding to the most prominent resonance peaks are also shown. These mode shapes are used in the parameter identification scheme described in the following section. Lastly, it is noted that retroreflective tape is used on the bone surface to improve the laser signal-to-noise-ratio. However, due to the surface imperfections of the bone, at certain measurement points the tape adheres poorly. For this reason, measurement points with coherence values less than 0.95 are excluded from the mode shape construction. After the removal of noisy measurement points, on average more than 80 points remain for evaluation of the experimental mode shapes, which is sufficient to capture the first handful of bone segment modes as seen in Fig. 6.

4. Parameter identification

The considered modal frequencies were observed to exhibit insensitivity to Poisson’s ratio. For this reason, in the parameter identification analyses, the Poisson’s ratio of the cortical tables and the diploë is assumed to be a constant parameter in similar vein to other modal frequency-based parameter identification efforts in bone (Taylor et al., 2002). The Poisson’s ratios are set to $\nu_c = 0.25$ and $\nu_d = 0.10$ in close accordance with those used in the literature (see, e.g. Chang et al., 2016; Sahoo et al., 2013).
Eqs. (1) and (2) are solved for volumes, and $\rho$ for equal numerical and experimental mass, and for the linear relation $\mu$. Additionally, because mass density is linearly proportional to $\nabla^2$ to have a unique solution for low to moderate levels of noise in $\omega_i$. The last step necessary to calculate the update $\delta a_k$ in Eq. (3) is to determine the $A_k$ such that the quadratic problem is guaranteed to have a unique solution for low to moderate levels of noise in $\omega_i$.

Following the analysis in Mazzotti et al. (2019), this parameter can be determined.
5. Results

The material properties including the identified mass densities and Young’s moduli obtained from the parameter identification scheme are shown in Table 1 for each cranial bone region. The cortical Young’s moduli range between 14.17 and 18.73 GPa, the diploë Young’s moduli between 2.43 and 6.15 GPa, the cortical mass densities between 926 and 2165 kg/m$^3$, and the diploë mass densities between 920 and 1290 kg/m$^3$. The cortical mass densities are consistently slightly higher (8%-14% depending on bone region) than those reported in the literature (Peterson and Dechow, 2003). The literature on the material properties is not reported since it could not be reliably extracted.

The converged numerical natural frequencies and mode shapes with the converged material properties are displayed in Fig. 8. Note that in all MAC matrices except Table 3 assuming $\nu = 0.25$. The single layer elastic moduli and mass densities fall between the experimental and numerical mode numbers do not agree past a certain mode. This is because weakly excited experimental modes are excluded from the analysis, which results in an offset between the experimental and numerical mode numbers. The experimental and numerical mode shapes with the converged material properties are shown in Figs. 9-13. The mode shapes bear similarities with beam and plate modes, with, for example, the first bending mode of a free-free beam being a clear analogue to the 15 mode of each skull segment. Higher modes are more distinct from their plate and beam counterparts as the complex bone geometry has more influence on the modes at higher frequencies.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skull Segment</th>
<th>$E_c$ [GPa]</th>
<th>$E_d$ [GPa]</th>
<th>$\rho_c$ [kg/m$^3$]</th>
<th>$\rho_d$ [kg/m$^3$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>18.73</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>2165</td>
<td>1237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>1211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>1246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2044</td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skull Segment</th>
<th>Mode ID</th>
<th>$f_{exp}$ [Hz]</th>
<th>$f_{num}$ [Hz]</th>
<th>Error [%]</th>
<th>$\xi$ [%]</th>
<th>MAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>3484</td>
<td>3452</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>5109</td>
<td>5242</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>8097</td>
<td>7959</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>10825</td>
<td>10848</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m5</td>
<td>14597</td>
<td>14540</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>2891</td>
<td>2913</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>5139</td>
<td>5193</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>7872</td>
<td>7822</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>11519</td>
<td>11472</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m5</td>
<td>13359</td>
<td>13321</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>3656</td>
<td>3807</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>5747</td>
<td>5729</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>8085</td>
<td>8653</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>10725</td>
<td>11070</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m5</td>
<td>15909</td>
<td>15158</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>3134</td>
<td>3226</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>5150</td>
<td>5074</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>6863</td>
<td>6780</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>8409</td>
<td>8395</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m5</td>
<td>12341</td>
<td>12295</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>5778</td>
<td>5778</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>7838</td>
<td>7838</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>14806</td>
<td>14806</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
low frequencies for certain applications, head models featuring separate cortical tables and diploë are frequently employed (Kleiven and von Holst, 2002; Sahoo et al., 2013; Kang et al., 1997; Cotton et al., 2016). Furthermore, higher frequencies used in the emerging field of guided waves and mode conversion for medical ultrasound require layered modeling as bone porosity and layeredness become more important at shorter wavelengths (Mazzotti et al., 2020; Mazzotti et al., 2021b). We have recently demonstrated the use of the identified elastic parameters from the three-layered modeling framework given here in the context of guided cranial wave radiation into an adjacent fluid medium (Mazzotti et al., 2021a; Kohtanen et al., 2021a,b).

6. Conclusions

In this work, a process for constructing layered finite element models with separate domains for the cortical tables and the diploë is developed. The geometry is reconstructed from micro-computed tomographic scan (μCT) images of cranial bone segments. The reconstruction process is applied to generate models for five bone segments extracted
from the four largest cranial bones (parietal, frontal, occipital, and temporal). Vibration experiments using an acoustic excitation source are conducted to obtain experimental modal frequencies and mode shapes. The elastic parameters in the numerical models are then optimized in a parameter identification scheme, yielding Young’s moduli for the cortical bone and the diploë that provide optimum agreement between the experiment and numerical results. The Young’s moduli reported for the diploë are some of the only such results in the literature.

With the identified elastic parameters and mass densities from µCT-scan images and experimental mass, the experimental and numerical mode shapes are in general excellent agreement with average MAC values above 0.90. The corresponding modal frequencies have a mean error of 1.3% across all the bone segments. Higher but reasonable errors are observed for more irregular bone segments such as F1 and O1 for which the model construction scheme is not as well-suited. These fundamental vibration results serve as a tool to validate the use of three layer cranial bone models for studies of the human skull or head in the audio frequency regime.

The bone segments used in this study were intentionally extracted without the inclusion of sutures. The authors hypothesize that sutures can in many cases have a profound effect on the vibrational behavior of cranial bone. Further analysis will investigate such effects for which a layered model with homogenized sutures will likely not be sufficient by itself.

Finally, the framework and results presented here are useful not only for basic mechanics and vibration investigations for the human head/skull, but also for the growing field of transcranial ultrasound using Lamb waves and mode conversion (Firouzi et al., 2017; Estrada et al., 2018; Sugino et al., 2021; Mazzotti et al., 2021b; Kohtanen et al., 2021a,b), in which accurate representation of the elastic properties of the diploë and cortical tables is indispensable.
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